

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

On March 23, 2010, Examiner Brian Gangle and the undersigned representative, Mrs. Valerie Neymeyer-Tynkov, discussed the above-identified application by telephone. During the interview, the Amendment filed January 29, 2010 and claims 37, 87 and 88 were specifically discussed.

As indicated in the Examiner's Interview Summary dated March 25, 2010, the Amendment filed on January 29, 2010 was discussed. The Examiner indicated that the Amendment overcame the pending rejection raised under 35 USC 112, and likely overcame rejections raised under 35 USC 102 and 103. In observance of USPTO rules, Applicant's representative further notes herebelow that the general thrust of discussion presented to the Examiner was in keeping with the January 29, 2010 Amendment. During the discussion of the rejection of claims under 35 USC 112, the interview conducted on December 16, 2009 and made of record in this application was also briefly discussed.

As Mrs. Neymeyer-Tynkov noted that she requested this interview in part to determine whether allowance of the application may be facilitated, the Examiner noted that claims 87-88 may require a new search and prolong examination of the application. Mrs. Neymeyer-Tynkov stated new claims 87-88 would be canceled to facilitate allowance of the application. Mrs. Neymeyer-Tynkov also noted that other claims may be canceled to facilitate allowance, if the Examiner believes claim 37 or other claims in the present application are now allowable.

Applicant and Applicant's representative thank the Examiner for his time and efforts in discussing the application.